

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/581,447	06/21/2000	CHIKARA MURATA	13663	9850	
7:	590 02/18/2003				
LEOPOLD PRESSER			EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN		ER	UHLIR, NI	UHLIR, NIKOLAS J	
GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		13663 EXAM UHLIR, N ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773	iZ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1! A! M -	A	(/ V/\
·* ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/581,447	MURATA ET AL.	
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): <u>0</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>The</u>			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>0</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>0</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 7-13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10. Other:			
	,		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant in the aner final amendment puts forth a combination of imitations that was not earlier presented in the examination. In particular, the applicant in the after final amendment to claim 1 requires a copolymer of a urethane acrylate and a methacrylate having a fluorene structure, wherein the urethane acrylate has a specific chemical formula. The requirement of a specific urethane acrylate in combination with a methacrylate having a fluorene structure was not presented earlier in the examination of the application. Further, in the after final amendment to claim 10, applicant requires rutile type titanium oxide. The requirement that the titanium oxide have a rutile crystal structure was not earlier presented. Last, the applicant in the after final amendment to claim 13 requires ultrafine particles that have a refractive index that is higher then the binder that they are incorporated into. The limitation that the particles have a higher refractive index then the binder was not earlier presented. All of the other claims are dependent on either claim 1 or 10. As these new issues were not earlier presented in the examination of the application, they require further search and consideration to determine their patentability. Accordingly, the after final amendment has not been entered.

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER